

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/937,070	01/29	/2002	Thomas C. Evans	NEB-177-PUS	4532	
28986	7590	02/17/2006		EXAMINER		
		EL; NEW ENG	SCHNIZER, HOLLY G			
240 COUNT IPSWICH, N	1 KOAD MA 01938-2'	723		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,				1656		

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
Advisory Action	09/937,070	EVANS ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Holly Schnizer	1656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprocessing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the mailing date or b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whichev	erie later in no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no er, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. e: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the appropriate out	oneion foo havo			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 February 2006</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep 	a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS	Land and the state of filtrans a bate	F 20 4 b 4 1				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	• -	•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-11,13,15 and 17-19.		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12,14 and 16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a l and sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will givit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/00/00 DTO 4440) D	No(a)				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. Other:	. (F10/35/06 of P10-1449) Paper	NO(S)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

NASHAAT T. NASHED PHD. **PRIMARY EXAMINER**

2-8-06

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 was filed after the final action but failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reason why the affidavit is necessary and was not earlier presented (see 37 CFR 1.116(e)), 2) is not signed by all of the inventors (see MPEP 715.04), and 3) is not accompanied by any evidence of priority of invention. The essential thing to be shown under 37 CFR 1.131 is priority of invention and this may be done by any satisfactory evidence of the fact. FACTS, not conclusions, must be alleged. (see MPEP 715.07) The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 states that the evidence is found in the dated pages of the inventors laboratory notebook, however the evidence was not received with the Declaration. Thus, the claims are rejected for the same reasons provided in the previous Office Actions.